



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Atty. Docket:

KARL J. WOOD ET AL.

PHB 34,169A

Serial No.: 09/118,572

Group Art Unit: 2672

Filed: JULY 17, 1998

Examiner: R. YAM

Title: GRAPHIC IMAGE TEXTURE GENERATION

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

2672
#17
Butt
10.9.01
RECEIVED
OCT 05 2001
Technology Center 2600

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed August 13, 2001,
please consider the following:

R E M A R K S

Claims 1-4, 7 and 9-10 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Karmen et al. Claims 5-6 and 8 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Karmen et al. in view of Griffin et al. Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Karmen et al. in view of Tremblay et al. Based on the following, these rejections are respectfully traversed.

In response to the above rejection, it is respectfully submitted that the claims recite features neither taught nor suggested by Kamen et al. alone or, in combination with either Griffin et al. or Tremblay et al. In particular,